DSCR RAB Meeting Issues Log

RAB Meeting: 8/08/05

8.1 The RAB requested that the Management Action Plan (MAP) document references to DSERTS Site numbers be listed alongside Operable Unit names (i.e. OU-1). Operable Unit names are more familiar to the RAB. See MAP prior year funding appendix.

DSCR will address site references during the MAP comment response period.

Pending 8/08/05

8.2 The RAB requested that the Management Action Plan (MAP) recognize corporate name changes (See Previous Studies section of the MAP).

DSCR will address corporate name changes during the MAP comment response period.

Pending 8/08/05

8.3 The RAB requested that DSCR seek to fill the RAB position vacant from Chesterfield County.

DSCR has contacted the Environmental Engineering department of Chesterfield County regarding the vacant position.

Pending 8/08/05

RAB Meeting: 7/11/05

No Issues Recorded

RAB Meeting: 6/13/05

6.1 The RAB requested that tentative dates for upcoming deliverables be indicated on the Program Update Presentation.

Tentative dates, indicating the quarter of the year that a deliverable is anticipated for

completion will be included in the Program Update.

Closed 7/11/05

RAB Meeting: 5/9/05

No Issues Recorded

RAB Meeting: 4/11/05

4.1 The DSCR web page is not current. Please clarify the reason for this and explain future plans for the website.

Visit the DSCR Cleanup webpage: http://www.nao.usace.army.mil/Projects/DSCR/DSCR.html to see the ongoing updates which reflect current conditions (Team/RAB Members, Presentations etc...) Long term planning for the website is underway. We're proposing changes and/or reformatting to make our website more user-friendly and informative.

Closed 7/11/05

4.2 A request was made to spell out acronyms when presenting information to the public. Also, it was requested that an indication of whether an operable unit (OU) is concerned with soil and groundwater when presenting information for a particular site.

Future DSCR presentations and discussions will spell out industry acronyms and specify the type of operable unit (i.e. soil and/or groundwater) to make the information easier to understand.

Closed 5/9/05

4.3 What are some common uses for Tetrachloroethane (PCA) and some of the other chlorinated solvents?

Chlorinated solvents are generally used as dry cleaning and degreasing solvents. Please see handout from May 2005 RAB Meeting for more specific product uses.

Closed 5/9/05

4.4 Provide an example of another site which has been impacted by chlorinated volatile organic compounds, and the status of the site. Is it possible to provide a percentage of

how many of these sites have been remediated?

The Naval Amphibious Base Little Creek in Virginia Beach, Virginia is an example of a Federal Facility where chlorinated volatile organic compounds (CVOCs) have impacted soil, groundwater, and surface water. According the USEPA CERCLIS* database, the Navy has completed remedial investigations (RI) on 4 of 10 impacted sites.

According to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) CERCLIS database, there are 159 active federal facility's conducting Superfund driven cleanups. So far thirteen federal facilities' have been deleted from the National Priorities List (NPL). Of those thirteen, two listed CVOCs as primary contaminants driving cleanup.

Closed 5/9/05

4.5 A question form the OU 12 Public Meeting, held on April 5, 2005. Can DSCR provide a list of all hazardous materials stored at DSCR?

As required by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) Title III Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act (EPCRA), DSCR is required to submit a Tier II Report annually, which provides a list of hazardous materials stored at the facility. Requests for this information can be submitted to the Virginia SARA Title III Program Office or to Virginia DEQ Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Office. The Virginia DEQ FOIA Office telephone number is (804) 698-4315

A website with guidance on obtaining this information can be found at http://www.deq.state.va.us/sara3/

Closed 5/9/05

RAB Meeting: 3/14/05

3.1 It has been determined that several residences along Kingsland Road have not been receiving the Community Newsletter.

Addresses of residences along Kingsland Road will be added to the mailing list and should receive the Community Newsletter.

Pending 5/9/05

3.2 Please clarify the groundwater flow direction in the vicinity of the background sampling locations near the Commander's House.

The most recent groundwater data collected show that groundwater moves in a South, Southeasterly direction near the Commander's residence (see April 05 Program Review

Slide).

Closed 4/12/05

3.3 The RAB requested a presentation on the Chesterfield County Creek Sampling Program and a comparison to DSCRs Creek Sampling Program.

DSCR is working with Chesterfield County to prepare a presentation on their Creek Sampling Program. Direct comparisons of the results with DSCRs Creek Sampling Program will be difficult, since the sampling locations and sample parameters are not identical.

A presentation was given by Chesterfield County at the May 9 RAB Meeting.

Closed 5/9/05

RAB Meeting: 1/10/05

1.1 Was there any sampling done along Kingsland Creek in the vicinity of the OU 4 Removal Action?

Creek sampling was not performed along Kingsland Creek during the OU 4 Removal Action. The excavated area was located approximately 600 feet north of Kingsland Creek. Standard erosion and sediment controls (e.g., silt fencing) were implemented around the site to prevent migration of sediment or stormwater from the area. Also, until final disposition, soil from the excavations was placed in bermed and lined stockpiles that were covered with plastic sheeting to prevent transport with wind or precipitation.

Closed 3/14/05

1.2 Please provide a description of creek sampling location BM-3 in relation to the Simpson Pond?

A few ponds are shown on the United States Geological Survey (USGS) topographic map for the area, which was updated in 1994. It is unclear which of these ponds is commonly referred to as "Simpson Pond". The topographic map will be available for review at the March 2005 RAB Meeting.

DSCR is in the process of obtaining the earlier version of the USGS map, which was published in 1969, in order to compare the locations of the ponds on the two maps.

Pending 4/11/05

1.3. Were any salamanders or other life forms observed in No Name Creek during the Creek Sampling Program?

Yes, a variety of aquatic invertebrates, amphibians (frogs and salamanders), and small fish were observed in No Name Creek. Details on specific organisms identified during the creek monitoring program will be included in the Final Creek Monitoring Report, which will be completed this spring.

Closed 3/14/05

PENDING ISSUES FROM 2004

RAB Meeting: 10/18/2004

10.1 The RAB requested a CD containing the most recent version of the updated electronic Administrative Record.

Administrative Record CDs w+ill be available for limited distribution to the RAB at the December meeting. Additional copies can be made upon request. The on-line Administrative Record is updated at least twice per year, and is available at http://www.adminrec.com/DLA.asp

Closed 1/11/05

10.2 The RAB requested that DSCR inform them of any comments and associated responses received on the Action Memorandum/Removal Site Evaluation (AM/RSE) for the OU 4/7 Time-Critical Removal Action (TCRA). A public notification for the TCRA was published in the Richmond Times Dispatch and Chester Village News, on September 26 and September 29, 2004, respectively.

To date, no comments have been received on the OU 4/7 TCRA.

Closed 1/11/05

10.3 Please provide pictures (if available) of the culverts located at the point that No Name Creek exits DSCR. Pictures during both "dry" and "flooded" periods may be helpful in understanding how No Name Creek floods during large rain events.

Pictures of the culverts during "dry" periods will be shown at the November 2004 RAB meeting. Pictures of the culverts during "flooding" are not yet available, since flooding has not occurred in the area, since the request was made.

Closed 11/9/04

10.4 Please notify the RAB of what plans are being considered to address the flooding of No Name Creek in the National Guard Area.

DSCR is considering potential options to address the flooding of No Name Creek in the National Guard Area. Although there are currently no plans for construction, DSCR is evaluating the feasibility of rerouting storm water drainage or using detention ponds to reduce excessive discharge to No Name Creek. The RAB will be notified of future plans for addressing the flooding as more details are available.

Pending 11/9/04